UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,595	04/22/2004	Cesar A. Galindo-Legaria	MSFT-3957/160078.02	6876
	7590 08/01/200 WASHBURN LLP (M	8 ICROSOFT CORPORATION)	EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			MIZRAHI, DIANE D	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/829,595	GALINDO-LEGA	RIA ET AL.		
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DIANE MIZRAHI	e] N/A. o if an agreement vand any other classhould overcome greed would render would render the company of			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Kenneth R. Eiferman</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Diane Mizrahi</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>34,39,43,47,53,67,79 and 93</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Eiferman agreed to amend the claims above and any other claims relevant to overcome the 35 USC 101 and Double Patenting rejection of June 6, 2008. Attorney should overcome the rejection of Claims 34-38 under 35 USC 103. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Diane Mizrahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 Examiner's signature, if requi				